

You are welcome to write as much or as little as you like for the following questions, and of course none are mandatory. If you would like to discuss the intent of any question, please contact rose@satarla.com – they are intended just to provide context and a high-level overview. Your answers will be uploaded to the website and openly available as pre-reading material, with discussion at the event taking place on the assumption that these have been read.

1. Please state the title of your Code / Standard + date of release for current version. (note – if possible, please link or attach a copy of your Code / Standard)

Kode KCMII 2017; 31st October 2017

2. Have you been actively working to improve guidance on reporting of Environment, Social, Governance (ESG) / Sustainability aspects within your Code / Standard? If so, please provide a brief summary of the work that has been / is being / is planned to be carried out.

Honestly, the concept or idea of having ESG aspects within our reporting code is something that has not been discussed intensively and extensively even though some relevant ideas have been preliminarily discussed or even being implemented but may be not in a way that the ESG concept has been stipulated.

Some ideas that might in line with the ESG concept and are still being in preliminarily and unofficially in discussion:

- a. We are envisioning to acknowledge some remote sensing data such as airborne/drone geophysics, hyperspectral data etc. to be considered as one of primary data to delineate exploration target combined with any existing conservative data such as silt, soil and rock geochemistry and geological map.
- b. Within our guideline about studies i.e. pre-FS FS; the environmental session might need to be covered in line with the Indonesian Government's approved feasibility study document includes the environmental impact study document called AMDAL. Furthermore; maybe need to be covered briefly within table1 (??)

What have been implemented:

In some type quarries' resource estimation, certain ground geophysics survey method could be used to substitute potentially excessive drilling data

Our codes recognizes Exploration Result, Resource and Reserve Estimation Report to the Government and our competent person system and reporting code have been used for all the reports to the government.

3. What impact are you having / do you hope to have through the update to your Code / Standard (note – if no updates are in place, please feel free to outline where you feel ESG could have an impact within resources and reserves)?

We hope that:

The airborne/drone and ground geophysics data utilization will reduce un-necessary ground clearing for access and drilling program however it might cost some issue in the level of confidence to the data/end results.

While to include the environmental impact analysis in the study (in advance) i.e. in FS or even pre FS will give earlier awareness on potential environmental impact and its mitigation or anticipation action plan in advanced in which it also has actually been required by the government. However it might cause some extra effort, time and cost at relatively early stage project.

4. Have you / your National Reporting Organisation / any member professional organisation developed any materials (formal or otherwise) that may be useful to other codes / standards / interested parties? If so, please provide links to them here or provide details on how they can be shared. (please note – if these materials cannot be shared, please feel free to still share knowledge of their existence and a contact person if appropriate).

No

5. Are you seeking any support / materials / ideas / content from other codes / standards? If so, please outline.

We need to know more about the ESG concept and feedback from what we discussed above whether if they will be applicable and acceptable in all or only certain circumstances or simply none.

6. Any final comments / suggestions re. ESG specifically within resource and reserves codes that you would like to share?

We need to have other parties (users) to be ready to accept and deal with the possibility of having lower confidence over the resource and reserve numbers by utilizing some less-reliable (remote sensing) data and probably some additional effort, time and cost to come up with the same or less reliable (at least for now) results.